### Professional Development Funds

### Student Achievement and Educator Quality Program

Iowa Code 284.13

Iowa Department of Education
Grimes State Office Building
Des Moines, IA 50319

January 2009

## State of Iowa Department of Education Grimes State Office Building 400 E 14<sup>th</sup> St Des Moines IA 50319-0146

### State Board of Education

Rosie Hussey, President, Clear Lake
Charles C. Edwards, Jr., Vice President, Des Moines
Sister Jude Fitzpatrick, West Des Moines
Brian Gentry, Des Moines
Wayne Kobberdahl, Council Bluffs
Valorie J. Kruse, Sioux City
Max Phillips, Woodward
LaMetta Wynn, Clinton
Kameron Dodge, Student Member, Cambridge
Vacant

### Administration

Judy A. Jeffrey, Director and Executive Officer of the State Board of Education Gail M. Sullivan, Chief of Staff

> **Division of PK-12 Education** Kevin Fangman, Administrator

Division of School Support and Information James Addy, Administrator

Bureau of Planning, Research, Development and Evaluation Services
James Pennington, Chief
Dianne Chadwick, Administrative Consultant

It is the policy of the Iowa Department of Education not to discriminate on the basis of race, creed, color, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, national origin, gender, disability, religion, age, political party affiliation, or actual or potential parental, family or marital status in its programs, activities, or employment practices as required by the *Iowa Code* sections 216.9 and 256.10(2), Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. § 2000d and 2000e), the Equal Pay Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 206, et seq.), Title IX (Educational Amendments, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681 – 1688) Section 504 (Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794), and the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.).

If you have questions or grievances related to compliance with this policy by the lowa Department of Education, please contact the legal counsel for the lowa Department of Education, Grimes State Office Building, 400 E 14<sup>th</sup> St, Des Moines IA 50319-0146, telephone number 515/281-5295, or the Director of the Office for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education, 111 N. Canal Street, Suite 1053, Chicago, IL 60606-7204.

# Iowa Department of Education Report 2009 As Required by Iowa Code 284.13 Professional Development Funds Student Achievement and Educator Quality Program

Legislation passed during the 2008 lowa legislative session, provided additional funding for professional development for teachers as part of the Student Achievement and Educator Quality Program, lowa Code 284. lowa Code 284 requires the lowa Department of Education (DE) to report on school district use of funds distributed pursuant to House File 2679 by January 15, 2009. The report is being made available to the chairpersons and ranking members of the senate and house committees on education, the joint appropriations subcommittee on education, the Legislative Services Agency, the deans of the colleges of education at approved practitioner preparation institutions in lowa, the State Board of Education, the Governor, and school districts.

Information on school district use of the funds provided through the 2008 enacted and signed Student Achievement and Educator Quality Legislation was collected from public school districts using the fall 2008 Basic Educational Data System (BEDS).

### Professional Development

Funds were allocated for high quality professional development for teachers in the 2008-09 school year. BEDS certification requires the districts to certify how the school district distributed these funds and that the moneys received under this subsection were used to supplement, not supplant, the professional development opportunities the school district would otherwise make available.

High quality professional development is defined as activities that align with the lowa Teaching Standards; career development needs of teachers (District and Individual Teacher Career Development Plans); research-based instructional strategies; Comprehensive School Improvement Plan student achievement goals; and improvement in instructional practice. This would not include items such as mandatory trainings, parent-teacher conference days, teachers preparing in their classrooms, staff orientations, or time spent preparing grades/report cards/lesson plans.

School districts decide on the best focus for the extra professional development day according to their own perceived needs (Table 1). Many districts reported focusing on multiple content areas. Often this was because different school buildings had different needs. For example, reading might be a priority in the elementary school, but the high school needed to focus on writing.

Three hundred seventeen districts reported that reading was a focus area. Two hundred fifteen districts reported mathematics as a focus area. Topics included in the "other" category were very diverse and included technology, differentiated learning, and school climate along with multiple other topics.

Table 1. Content Focus of Professional Development

	Number of	Percent of	
	Districts*	Districts*	
Reading	317	88%	
Mathematics	215	59	
Science	133	37	
Writing	160	44	
Iowa Core Implementation	264	73	
Other	175	48	

<sup>\*</sup>Out of 362 districts. Many districts had more than one focus area.

In addition, districts were asked how the professional development funds would be distributed. Districts indicated that 74 percent of the total would be paid to teachers for time to participate or lead professional development (Table 2).

Table 2. Allocation of Professional Development Funds

	Total	Median of	Percent
		the Districts	of Total
Salaries/Teacher Time	\$13,972,380.60	79%	74.2%
Substitutes	\$1,860,873.67	5%	9.9
Professional Development Materials	\$912,193.22	0%	4.8
Professional Development Trainers	\$921,731.14	0%	4.9
Other*	\$1,174,293.31	0%	6.2
Total	\$18,841,471.94		100.0

<sup>\*</sup>Out of 362 districts. Many districts had more than one focus area.

Districts were also asked to indicate the percent of funds that will be allocated to the implementation of the District Career Development Plans (DCDP), Attendance Center Professional Development Plans (ACPDP), and Individual Professional Development Plans (IPDP) (Table 3). If a particular activity was part of multiple levels, the districts were required to include the funding in the highest appropriate level. The indication was that about half of the funds would be used to implement the DCDP.

<sup>\*</sup>Includes unallocated funds.

Table 3. Allocation of Professional Development Funds by Level

	Median of the
	Districts
Implementation of District Career Development	
Plans	50%
Implementation of Attendance Center	
Professional Development Plans	25%
Implementation of Individual Professional	
Development Plans	20%

Districts also received professional development funds specifically for the Iowa Core Curriculum. The districts were asked how the Iowa Core Curriculum professional development funds would be distributed. Districts indicated that more than half of the total would be paid to teachers for time to participate or lead professional development (Table 4).

Table 4. Allocation of Iowa Core Curriculum Professional Development Funds

	Total Median o		Percent	
		the Districts	of Total	
Salaries/Teacher Time	\$4,256,107.93	60%	53.2%	
Substitutes	\$1,390,410.77	17%	17.4	
Professional Development Materials	\$546,417.41	0%	6.8	
Professional Development Trainers	\$327,694.83	0%	4.1	
Other	\$1,486,994.65	0%	18.6	
Total	\$8,007,625.59		100.0	

Districts were also asked to indicate the percent of funds that will be allocated to the implementation of professional development at the district-wide, attendance center, and individual level (Table 5). If a particular activity was part of multiple levels, the districts were again required to include the funding in the highest appropriate level. The indication was that 60 percent of the funds would be used for district-wide professional development.

Table 5. Allocation of Iowa Core Curriculum Professional Development Funds by Level

	Median of the
	Districts
District-Wide Professional Development	60%
Attendance Center Professional Development	25%
Individual Professional Development Plans	0%

Similarly, area education agencies (AEAs) were allotted professional development funding from the educator quality legislation. All 10 AEAs reported multiple focus areas (Table 6).

Table 6. Content Focus Areas of AEA Professional Development

	Number of AEAs	Percent of AEAs
Reading	7	70%
Mathematics	6	60%
Science	6	60%
Writing	4	40%
Iowa Core Implementation	6	60%
Other	9	90%

The AEAs were asked how the professional development funds would be distributed. The AEAs indicated that most would be paid to teachers for time to participate or lead professional development (Table 7).

Table 7. Allocation of AEA Professional Development Funds

		•		
	Teacher Quality		Iowa Core C	Curriculum
	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent
Salaries/Teacher Time	\$799,535.28	69%	\$285,341.66	58%
Substitutes	\$25,000.00	2	\$0.00	0
Professional				
Development				
Materials	\$14,868.51	1	\$4,250.00	1
Professional		3		5
Development Trainers	\$40,204.00		\$24,287.96	
Other*	\$278,920.27	24	\$178,494.80	36
Total	\$1,158,528.06	100	\$492,374.42	100

<sup>\*</sup>Includes unallocated funds.

The AEAs were also asked to indicate the percent of funds that will be allocated to the following implementation of professional development at the district-wide, attendance center, and individual level (Table 8). If a particular activity was part of multiple levels, the AEAs were required to include the funding in the highest appropriate level. The indication was that more than 62 percent of the regular funds and more than 90 percent of the lowa Core Curriculum funds would be used for district-wide professional development.

Table 8. Median Allocation of AEA Professional Development Funds by Level

		Iowa Core
	Teacher Quality	Curriculum
District-Wide Professional Development	62.5%	90%
Attendance Center Professional Development	17%	0%
Individual Professional Development	16%	0%